

Evaluation Matrix

1412205281 (RFP) Point Meadows 230-26kV T2 Addition		Contractor:		Evaluator:		Score:	
Does Proposer meet Minimum Qualifications?						YES (Accept)	NO (Reject)
Minimum Qualifications (Accept/Reject)							
Criteria	MAX Points	Low Bidder = 50 pts The low bid will receive the maximum 50 points and the amount of that bid will be the basis for awarding points to all other bids based on the following formula: Other bidders points = 50 * (Low Bidder/Bidder). For Example: Bid #1 = \$100, Bid #2 = \$125 Bid #1 is low bid and will receive 50 points, Bid #2 will receive 40 points (55 x (100/125))					
Quotation of Rates	50				TO BE FILLED IN BY PURCHASING		
Similar Experience The Respondent shall provide two references from previously completed projects that closely align with the scope, complexity, and technical expertise needed for this project. Includes: 1. Reference Project Name 2. Reference Company Name 3. Reference Email Address & Name 4. Year work completed 5. Contract Value \$ 6. Description of work and similar experiences to this project. Similar experience includes: Brownfield substation project which include modifications or additions to existing substation	10	Reference #1 Submittal	Highly relevant experience. Fully reflects the scope and complexity of this project (5 points)	Some relevant experience, but does not fully reflect the scope or complexity of this project. (2-4 points)	Minimal to no comparable experience to the scope and complexity of this project. (0-1 points)		
		Reference #2 Submittal	Highly relevant experience. Fully reflects the scope and complexity of this project (5 points)	Some relevant experience, but does not fully reflect the scope or complexity of this project. (2-4 points)	Minimal to no comparable experience to the scope and complexity of this project. (0-1 points)		
Plan & Schedule The Respondent shall provide a detailed project schedule that includes a comprehensive and realistic task breakdown, including clear durations, dependencies, and resource allocation. Clearly identifies key project milestones, aligns with critical path, and ensures adherence to JEA milestone and outage dates. See JEA Technical Specifications - Specific Instructions for JEA Milestones. The Respondent shall provide a document describing the Respondent's plan to complete the project successfully and meet JEA's milestones. Demonstrates full understanding of the scope of work, provides a detailed and logical sequence of work. Describes 3 realistic, potential risks and how they will address them.	35	Schedule Submittal	Comprehensive and realistic task breakdown, including clear durations, dependencies, and resource allocation. Clearly identifies key project milestones, aligns with critical path, and meets JEA milestone and outage dates. (15-20 points)	Adequate breakdown of tasks, durations, and dependencies with some level of detail. Milestones are identified but may lack clarity or lack full alignment with the critical path. The schedule meets JEA milestone and outage dates. (7-14 points)	Incomplete or unrealistic task breakdown with unclear durations and dependencies. Key milestones are missing or poorly defined, and the schedule does not align with critical project phases. The timeline fails to meet JEA milestone and outage dates or shows significant discrepancies. (0-7 points)		
		Plan	Full understanding of scope of work. Provides a detailed and logical sequence of work. Describes 3 realistic, potential risks and how to address them. (10-15 points)	General understanding of scope of work. Provides a generic sequence of work or lacks in details. Provides less than 3 realistic, potential risks or addressing the risk is adequately explained (5-9 points)	Limited to no understanding of scope of work. Provides a poor sequence of work, poorly organized, unclear, or unrealistic. Fails to provide realistic potential risks or severely lacks detail or addressing the risk is poorly explained (0-5 points)		
Safety Respondent shall provide the company's and sub-contractor's Experience Modification Rating (EMR) of the past 3 years. The average of each company will be taken as the average EMR rating for scoring. The lowest EMR average will be evaluated.	5	Average EMR Rating of past 3 years	EMR Rating less than 1.0 (5 points)	n/a	EMR Rating 1.0 or higher (0 points)		
				Total points	100		